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#### Abstract

Many algorithms are available to solve the puzzle. Natural Algorithm (NA) developed and presented in this paper not only provides solution for the three tower and eight disks problem, but provides solution for multiple towers and multiple disks problem through minimum number of operations. Solution for sixteen towers and fifteen disks has been shown in the paper requiring minimum number of operations.


Index terms-Natural Algorithm (NA), Towers of Hanoi (TOH), N-Tower Solution.

## I. Introduction

Towers of Hanoi (TOH) are a familiar mathematical puzzle introduced by a French mathematician Edouard Lucas in 1873 [1][3]. The puzzle deals with three towers and eight disks of different sizes. Initially all the disks are placed randomly in a tower called the source tower. All these disks from the source tower will have to be moved to another tower known as target tower with the help of an intermediate tower called the "rest tower". The disks are to be placed in the target tower according to the size of the disk i,e the largest disk will occupy the bottom position in the stack of the target tower and the rest of the disks are to be placed uniformly in the descending size of the disk. Hence the smallest disk will occupy the top most position in the stack of the target tower. Minimum number of operations will be required to transport disks from the source tower to the target tower [7-11].

The TOH puzzle has two major parts. One of them is the number of movements of the disks for a particular combination of tower \& disk, and the other one is the movements of the disks between the source towers to the target tower [4].

When there are 3 towers in the puzzle, then the solution is quite straight forward [5]. But with the increase of towers,

[^0]complexity of the problem increases manifold that involves significant number of equations and the algorithm size increases appreciably. In this paper, natural algorithm has been developed that provides solution to the tower of Hanoi $(\mathrm{TOH})$ problem with finite number of towers and disks.

## II. Natural Function

In the puzzle, there are two terms- tower and disk. For a specific number of towers the number of disks may vary. It may be above, or below, or equal to the number of towers. Depending on these two variables, different equations are obtained for the natural function. Let, t and d are two variables namely towers and disks respectively. These two are defined as $(0<\mathrm{t} \leq \mathrm{n})$ and $(0<\mathrm{d} \leq \mathrm{m})$ where n and m represents the highest number of the towers and disks respectively. Thus we have the terms $\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{d} \& \mathrm{~N}$ and $\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{d}>0$.
Using these two variables, the 'Natural' function is implemented to find out the total no. of movements. Here ' m ' is considered as $15(\mathrm{~m}=15)$. For this limitation the function is defined as,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2^{\mathrm{d}}-1 \\
& \quad(\text { for, } \mathrm{t}=3) \\
& 2 \mathrm{~d}-1 \\
& \quad(\text { for, } \mathrm{t}>\mathrm{d}) \\
& 4 \mathrm{~d}-2 \mathrm{t}+1 \\
& \quad(\text { for, } \mathrm{t} \leq \mathrm{d} \leq(\mathrm{t}(\mathrm{t}-1)) / 2) \\
& 2 \mathrm{t}^{2}-4 \mathrm{t}+9 \\
& \quad(\text { for, } \mathrm{d}=(\mathrm{t}(\mathrm{t}-1)) / 2+1) \\
& 20+2 \mathrm{f}\left(3, \mathrm{p}_{1}\right)+\mathrm{f}\left(3, \mathrm{p}_{2}\right) \\
& \quad(\text { for, }(\mathrm{t}(\mathrm{t}-1)) / 2+1<\mathrm{d} \leq \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{t}=4) \\
& 34+2 \mathrm{f}\left(3, \mathrm{p}_{1}\right)+\mathrm{f}\left(3, \mathrm{p}_{2}\right) \\
& \quad(\text { for, }(\mathrm{t}(\mathrm{t}-1)) / 2+1<\mathrm{d} \leq \mathrm{m}-1, \mathrm{t}=5) \\
& 58+2 \mathrm{f}\left(3, \mathrm{p}_{1}\right)+\mathrm{f}\left(3, \mathrm{p}_{2}\right) \\
& \quad(\text { for, } \mathrm{d}=\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{t}=5)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{~d})=2 \mathrm{t}^{2}-4 \mathrm{t}+9
$$

## III. Natural Algorithm (NA)

The core of natural algorithm is obtained from natural function ' $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{d})$ '. According to this function, whole algorithm is classified into some parts for a specific number of towers and also a specific number of disks. Though there are some similarities in these but each of them has different procedures to perform the disk movement operations.

Let, ' $t$ ' denotes the number of towers and ' $d$ ' denotes the number of disks in the puzzle and ' $M$ ' denotes the number of total movements.

1. If $t=3$ then
a) Set, $\mathrm{M}=2^{\mathrm{d}}-1$.
b) Call $f(3, d)$.
2. If $t>d$ then
a) Set, $M=2 d-1$.
b) Call $f(t, d)$.
3. If $\mathrm{t} \leq \mathrm{d}$ AND $\mathrm{d} \leq(\mathrm{t}(\mathrm{t}-1)) / 2$ then
a) Set, $M=4 d-2 t+1$.
b) Call $f(t, d)$.
4. If $d=(t(t-1)) / 2+1$ then
a) Set, $M=2 t^{2}-4 t+9$.
b) Call $f(t, d)$.
5. If $((\mathrm{t}(\mathrm{t}-1)) / 2+1)<\mathrm{d}$ AND $\mathrm{d} \leq \mathrm{m}$ AND $\mathrm{t}=4$ then
a) If $d \bmod 2=0$ then

$$
\text { i. Set, } \mathrm{M}=17+2^{((\mathrm{d}-2) / 2+1)}
$$

b) If $d \bmod 2=1$ then

$$
\text { i. Set, } \mathrm{M}=17+3 * 2^{(\mathrm{d}-3) / 2}
$$

c) Call $f(4, d)$.
6. If $(\mathrm{t}(\mathrm{t}-1)) / 2+1<\mathrm{d}$ AND $\mathrm{d} \leq \mathrm{m}-1$ AND $\mathrm{t}=5$ then
a) If $\mathrm{d} \bmod 2=0$ then

$$
\text { i. Set, } M=31+2^{((\mathrm{d}-6) / 2+1)}
$$

b) If $\mathrm{d} \bmod 2=1$ then

$$
\text { i. Set, } M=31+3 * 2^{(\mathrm{d}-7) / 2}
$$

c) Call $f(5, d)$.
7. If $d=m$ AND $t=5$ then
a) If $d \bmod 2=0$ then

$$
\text { i. Set, } M=55+2^{((d-16) / 2+1)}
$$

b) If $d \bmod 2=1$ then

$$
\text { i. Set, } \mathrm{M}=55+3 * 2^{(\mathrm{d}-17) / 2}
$$

c) Call $f(5, m)$.
$f(t, d)=2^{d}-1$ when, $t=3$
For any tower-disk combination, only 2 towers are involved in each movement. When the TOH puzzle is arranged with 3 towers, movements may involve the left most 2 towers or right most 2 towers or the left most and right most tower only. This involvement of the towers can be represented using 3 bit binary numbers as 110,101 and 011. The left most bit denotes the left most tower, right most bit denotes the right most tower and the middle bit denotes the middle tower respectively. Bit 1 denotes the involvement of the tower and 0 denotes the absence of the tower. The mentioned binary numbers can also be written in decimal format as $6,5,3$. Depending on the even or odd number of disks we get 2 sequences as shown below:
a) 6 then 5 then 3
b) 5 then 6 then 3 .

Now let, each of the disk has a weight according to their size.(i.e. the smallest disk has weight 1 and the largest disk has the weight m ), when there is no disk in a tower then it has a weight 0 . ' $w_{1}$ ' and ' $w_{r}$ ' are the left and right tower's top most disk weight respectively. And the 2 patterns are cyclic in nature i.e. pattern a) $6,5,3,6,5,3,6,5,3, \ldots$. and pattern b) $5,6,3,5,6,3,5,6,3, \ldots$.

Thus the following steps are involved in a 3 towers m disks puzzle.

1. Check that, whether the total number of disks is even or odd.
2. If $d \bmod 2=0$ then
a) Follow pattern a) 6 then 5 then 3 .
3. If $d \bmod 2=1$ then
a) Follow pattern b) 5 then 6 then 3 .
4. If $w_{1}>w_{r}$ then
a) Move the disk from right tower to left tower.
5. If $w_{1}<w_{r}$ then
a) Move the disk from left tower to right tower.
6. Change the pattern, set the next stage of it.
7. Go back to step- 4 to continue the process up to move all the disks to the destination tower.

## $f(t, d)=2 d-1 \quad$ when, $t>d$

When the puzzle is arranged using of multiple towers, where the number of towers are more than the number of disks i.e. $t>d$, then the observation is found that each of the disk takes 2 movements except the largest disk that takes only 1 movement. Using this concept the following algorithm is obtained considering the smallest disk as $d_{1}$, then the immediate large disk as $\mathrm{d}_{2}$ and so on.

1. Move disk $d_{1}$ from $t_{1}$ to $t_{r-1}$ (if exists).
2. Move disk $d_{2}$ from $t_{1}$ to $t_{r-2}$ (if exists).
3. Continue the above movement process (in step-1 and step-2) up to move disks $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{m}-1}$ from $\mathrm{t}_{1}$ to its appropriate position (if exists).
4. Move the largest disk $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{m}}$ from $\mathrm{t}_{1}$ to $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{r}}$.
5. Now move disk $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{m}-1}$ from its source tower to $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{r}}$ (if exists).
6. Move disk $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{m}-2}$ from its source tower to $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{r}}$ (if exists).
7. Continue the above movement process (in step-5 and step-6) up to move all the disks to the destination tower $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{r}}$ (if exists).

## $f(t, d)=4 d-2 t+1$ when, $t \leq d \leq(t(t-1)) / 2$

In limit $\mathrm{t} \leq \mathrm{d} \leq(\mathrm{t}(\mathrm{t}-1)) / 2$ some disks of the puzzle take 4 movements, some take two and the largest disk takes only a single movement.

Now let $t_{m}$ is the number of temporary towers. Thus the following steps are involved,

1. Move the top most disk from $t_{1}$ to $t_{r}$.
2. Move the next disk from $t_{1}$ to $t_{r-1}$.
3. Continue the above process (step-1 and step-2) up to fill all the temporary towers.
4. Decrement $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{m}}$ by 1 .
5. At $\mathrm{t}_{1}$ there are total $\left(\mathrm{d}-\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{m}}+1\right)$ disks left. Now compare this value with $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{m}}$.
6. If $\left(d-t_{m}+1\right)>t_{m}$ then
a) Move back all the disks from $t_{l+2}$ to $t_{r}$ at $t_{1+1}$ to make a disk stack.
b) Continue the process from step-1 until the above condition is dis-satisfied.
7. If $\left(d-t_{m}+1\right) \leq t_{m}$ then
a) Make a disk stack at $(t-d)^{\text {th }}$ tower position using the disks from $(t-d+1)^{\text {th }}$ tower to $t_{r}$.
8. Move the top most disk at $t_{1}$ to $t_{r-1}$ (if exists), move the next disk at $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{r}-2}$ (if exists). And continue the process up to move all the disks on the top of the largest disk $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{m}}$ (if exist).
9. Move disk $d_{m}$ from $t_{1}$ to $t_{r}$.
10. Now search the previous small disk at bottom position from $t_{r-1}$ to $t_{1}$. When found,
a) Check is there any $\operatorname{disk}(\mathrm{s})$ on the top of this disk.
b) If exist(s) move the disk(s) to the left most empty tower (one by one).
c) Move the desired disk to $t_{r}$.
11. Continue the above movement process (step-9 and step-10) up to move all the disks at $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{r}}$.
$f(t, d)=2 t^{2}-4 t+9 \quad$ when, $d=(t(t-1)) / 2+1$
When, $d=(t(t-1)) / 2+1$ the nature of the puzzle is changed suddenly. Here the puzzle does not have any part of the above mentioned. At and after this limit the puzzle is divided in 2 or more sub puzzles. Using 4 towers it is divided into 2 sub puzzles. Using 5 towers it is divided into 3 and for other towers it is divided into more sub puzzles. The main theme of this limit is to make small disk stacks up to obtain a 3 towers 4 disks puzzle which is obtained actually at the last option.
In this case, the following steps are involved,
12. Move the disks $(\mathrm{t}-1)$ at $\mathrm{t}_{1+1},(\mathrm{t}-2)$ at $\mathrm{t}_{1+2}$.
13. Continue the similar process to move the other disks until t be 3 .
14. Move 4 disks using 3 towers 4 disks algorithm.
15. Move the smallest stack from $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{r}-2}$ to $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{r}}$, then the stack from $t_{r-3}$ to $t_{r}$.
16. Continue the similar process up to move all the disks at $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{r}}$ from the temporary towers.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f(t, d)=20+2 f\left(3, p_{1}\right)+f\left(3, p_{2}\right) \text { when, }(t(t-1)) / 2+1<d \leq \\
& m, t=4
\end{aligned}
$$

Above the limit $(\mathrm{t}(\mathrm{t}-1)) / 2+1$, the function $\mathrm{f}(4,3)$ is called 4 times and $f(3, p)$ is called 3 times only. Here $f(3, p)=2 * f(3$, $\left.p_{1}\right)+f\left(3, p_{2}\right)$, where $p=p_{1}+p_{2}$. The value of $P_{1}$ and $p_{2}$ are obtained from an even-odd operation.
So, the following steps are involved in this part of the algorithm,

1. Use the 4 towers 3 disks algorithm to move 3 disks from $t_{1}$ to $t_{1+1}$.
2. Check the number of disks is even or odd.
3. If odd then
a) Call 3 towers (d/2) disks algorithm to move the disks at $\mathrm{t}_{1+2}$.
4. If even then
a) Call 3 towers (d / 2) (floor value) disks algorithm to move the disks at $\mathrm{t}_{1+2}$.
5. Recall 4 towers 3 disks algorithm to move the disks from $t_{1+1}$ to $t_{1+2}$.
6. For odd number of disks recall 3 towers ( $\mathrm{d} / 2$ ) disks algorithm or, for even number of disks call 3 towers (d / 2) (ceiling value) disks algorithm to move the rest disks from $t_{1}$ to $t_{r}$.
7. Recall 4 towers 3 disks algorithm at $\mathrm{t}_{1+2}$ to move the disks at $\mathrm{t}_{1+1}$ back.
8. Recall the used algorithm at step-3 or step-4 to move the disks from $t_{1+2}$ to $t_{r}$.
9. Again call 4 towers 3 disks algorithm at $\mathrm{t}_{1+1}$ to move the disks at $t_{r}$.
$f(t, d)=34+2 f(3, p 1)+f(3, p 2)$ when, $(t(t-1)) / 2+1<d$ $\leq m-1, t=5$

Above the limit $(\mathrm{t}(\mathrm{t}-1)) / 2+1<\mathrm{d}$ both the 4 towers and 5 towers puzzle themes are almost similar. But still 5 towers
puzzle has some dissimilarity. And that's why; it is classified in some more parts. Here, the following steps are involved,

1. Use 5 towers 4 disks algorithm to move 4 disks from $\mathrm{t}_{1}$ to $\mathrm{t}_{1+1}$.
2. Use 4 towers 3 disks algorithm to move 3 disks from $t_{1}$ to $\mathrm{t}_{1+2}$.
3. Check the number of disks is even or odd.
4. If odd then
a) Call 3 towers (d/2) disks algorithm to move the disks at $\mathrm{t}_{1+3}$.
5. If even then
a) Call 3 towers ( $\mathrm{d} / 2$ ) (floor value) disks algorithm to move the disks at $t_{1+3}$.
6. Recall 4 towers 3 disks algorithm to move the disks from $t_{1+2}$ to $t_{1+3}$.
7. For odd number of disks recall 3 towers (d/2) disks algorithm or, for even number of disks call 3 towers (d / 2) (ceiling value) disks algorithm to move the rest disks from $t_{1}$ to $t_{r}$.
8. Recall 4 towers 3 disks algorithm to move the disks from $t_{1+3}$ to $t_{1+2}$.
9. Recall the used algorithm at step-3 or step-4 to move the disks from $t_{1+3}$ to $t_{r}$.
10. Recall 4 towers 3 disks algorithm to move the disks from $t_{1+2}$ to $t_{\text {r. }}$
11. Recall 5 towers 4 disks algorithm to move the disks from $t_{1+1}$ to $t_{r}$.
$f(t, d)=58+2 f(3, p 1)+f(3, p 2) \quad$ when, $d=m, t=5$
This function is the extension of the function $f(t, d)=34+$ $2 \mathrm{f}\left(3, \mathrm{p}_{1}\right)+\mathrm{f}\left(3, \mathrm{p}_{2}\right)$ in between the limit $(\mathrm{t}(\mathrm{t}-1)) / 2+1<\mathrm{d} \leq$ $\mathrm{m}-1$. Thus following steps are found in the movements of the disks.
12. Use 5 towers 4 disks algorithm to move 4 disks from $t_{1}$ to $\mathrm{t}_{1+1}$.
13. Use 4 towers 3 disks algorithm to move 3 disks from $t_{1}$ to $\mathrm{t}_{1+2}$.
14. Check the number of disks is even or odd.
15. If odd then
a) Call 3 towers (d/2) disks algorithm to move the disks at $\mathrm{t}_{1+3}$.
16. If even then
a) Call 3 towers (d / 2) (floor value) disks algorithm to move the disks at $t_{1+3}$.
17. Recall 4 towers 3 disks algorithm to move the disks from $t_{1+2}$ to $t_{1+3}$.
18. Recall 5 towers 4 disks algorithm to move the disks from $t_{1+1}$ to $t_{1+3}$.
19. For odd number of disks recall 3 towers (d/2) disks algorithm or, for even number of disks call 3 towers (d / 2) (ceiling value) disks algorithm to move the rest disks from $t_{1}$ to $t_{r}$.
20. Recall 5 towers 4 disks algorithm to move the disks from $t_{1+3}$ to $t_{1+1}$.
21. Recall 4 towers 3 disks algorithm to move the disks from $t_{1+3}$ to $t_{1+2}$.
22. Recall the used algorithm at step-3 or step-4 to move the disks from $t_{1+3}$ to $t_{r}$.
23. Recall 4 towers 3 disks algorithm to move the disks from $t_{1+2}$ to $t_{\text {r }}$
24. Recall 5 towers 4 disks algorithm to move the disks from $t_{1+1}$ to $t_{r}$.

## IV. N-TOWERS SOLUTION

The nature of towers of Hanoi puzzle depends on the number of disks provided in the puzzle for a specific number of towers. For this reason, for a specific number of disks; after a few towers the movements of the disks is to be constant. Then the increment of towers does not have any effects on the movements of the disks. This constant combination is found at $t>d$. Thus, $t=d+1$. After the value of this ' $t$ ', the extra towers do not have any effects. As in this paper, maximum 15 disks are used, so we have, $\mathrm{t}=15+1=$ 16. Thus the 16 towers 15 disk solution is the ' $n$ ' towers solution. This is shown in the following table (Table-1).

| $\mathrm{ad}_{\mathrm{d}}^{\mathrm{t}}$ | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| 3 | 7 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| 4 | 15 | 9 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| 5 | 31 | 13 | 11 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 |
| 6 | 63 | 17 | 15 | 13 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 |
| 7 | 127 | 25 | 19 | 17 | 15 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 |
| 8 | 255 | 33 | 23 | 21 | 19 | 17 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 |
| 9 | 511 | 41 | 27 | 25 | 23 | 21 | 19 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 |
| 10 | 1023 | 49 | 31 | 29 | 27 | 25 | 23 | 21 | 19 | 19 | 19 | 19 | 19 | 19 |
| 11 | 2047 | 65 | 39 | 33 | 31 | 29 | 27 | 25 | 23 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 21 |
| 12 | 4095 | 81 | 47 | 37 | 35 | 33 | 31 | 29 | 27 | 25 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 |
| 13 | 8191 | 113 | 55 | 41 | 39 | 37 | 35 | 33 | 31 | 29 | 27 | 25 | 25 | 25 |
| 14 | 1638 | 145 | 63 | 45 | 43 | 41 | 39 | 37 | 35 | 33 | 31 | 29 | 27 | 27 |
| 15 | 3276 | 209 | 71 | 49 | 47 | 45 | 43 | 41 | 39 | 37 | 35 | 33 | 31 | 29 |
|  | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |

## V. Conclusion

Towers of Hanoi puzzle is not just a puzzle but also a popular mathematical problem that needs special tools for its solution. When the number of towers are increased by keeping the number of disks same, it takes simple mathematical and logical terms that are totally different from any combination of 3 towers and multiple disks. Again keeping the number of towers fixed, when the number of disks are increased, it creates the problem that is too critical. In this paper $n$-towers solution is given for 15 numbers of disks but NA provides the scope to enhance the number of disks and towers as per requirement. The NA developed here is simple in the sense that it gives an easy solution to a large number of tower \& disks combinations.
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