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Abstract—For any industrial organizations, improving the business performance often means the improvement in their software development performance. The growing popularity of developing the software using reusable components could dramatically reduce development effort, cost and accelerate delivery. To achieve this goal it is necessary to define a systematic reuse strategy as part of organization daily activities. Often, gains in product quality, productivity, cost reduction, cycle time reduction, and even customer satisfaction are offered to corporate decision-makers to justify investment in adoption of the CMMI. This paper provides an approach and mechanisms for making CMMI investment decisions based on impact on ROI by proposing a new process based capability maturity model for reuse based development process.

Index Terms— capability maturity model, CMMI, process maturity framework, software process improvement, process capability, process performance, maturity level, software reuse.

I. INTRODUCTION

The decision to adopt the CMMI within an organization is multi-faceted. The CMMI framework must be compared to other improvement options, such as ISO 9001-2000, the SW-CMM®, clean room methodologies, inspections, or software reuse. If the decision to adopt the CMMI is made, several options then present themselves.

There are three most important variables affecting Return on Investment for a CMMI implementation effort. They are:

- Performance or Quality Goals
- Value Domains
- Contract types

Again there are three value domains that may be affected or improved through successful CMMI adoption:

- Product life cycle
- Marketing value
- Intrinsic value to the organization

Product life cycle value stems from increases in productivity and product quality, reduced costs, and reduced time-to-market.

Marketing value is based on the perception of the potential customer. If CMMI compliance is a condition of contract award, or if the acquiring organization is sufficiently aware of the value of CMMI compliance, that compliance would presumably have a direct impact on contract award.

Intrinsic value to the organization is defined as the knowledge or skills of its members, and the ability of its infrastructure to respond to the needs of the engineering organization.

Since the product life cycle value has been the dominant focus of ROI calculations over the years, the proposed CMMI for reuse focuses on the control and monitor the reuse process and integrate reuse into software life cycle compared to other value domains.

A heuristic view of the potentially radical increase in ROI is provided in Figure 2. The curves are estimated boundary conditions for organizations that invest roughly equal amounts on their CMMI programs. The difference in ROI is the attention paid on understanding the organization’s business environment, and then on focusing the CMMI effort on helping the organization to achieve important management
and engineering goals through its CMMI program. The following figure depicts the decision process for adoption of CMMI.

**A. Motivation For Reuse**

Motivation for developing the Reuse Maturity Model comes from observing the enormous impact the Software Engineering Institute's software Capability Maturity Model has had. The SEI has focused attention on process issues in a most remarkable way by examining characteristic engineering practices and providing a means to classify an organization into one of five maturity levels [7],[8],[44]. Moreover, the SEI has established the principle in people's minds that the quality of a software product is dictated by the quality of the processes used to develop that product. Furthermore, the SEI has convinced executive managers that process improvement must come a step at a time, by laying a foundation for process improvement on which other improvement activities can build. Through the proposed Reuse Maturity Model, we hope that achieving reuse requires a comprehensive approach.

From the survey conducted in various software companies, a numerous obstacles are identified that must be overcome in order to achieve high levels of reuse, are listed below:

- **Cultural:** Incentives and management backing must be put in place and ("Not Invented Here") syndrome must be eliminated.
- **Institutional:** A corporate-wide forum is needed to identify product development cycle where reuse concerns can always be raised and resolved.
- **Financial:** The costs and benefits must be understood for a product life cycle based on a "Design for Reuse" philosophy. Reusable work-products must be viewed as capital assets.
- **Technical:** Proper mechanisms are needed to ensure that guidelines, techniques, and standards for making things reusable are developed and followed.
- **Legal:** Negotiations must be undertaken to determine how to retain rights to components developed under customer contract and recover costs in a reuse context. Mechanisms will be needed for payment and collection of royalties for use and reuse in the commercial arena.

Out of the hundred industrial organizations considered, we could see many Level 1 Reuse organizations, only a handful of companies at the intermediate levels, and only hypothesize what a Level 5 Reuse company would look like. We expect some significant revisions are needed to the model. An additional step which augments the reuse maturity questionnaire and by organizing subsets of the questions to address each level of reuse maturity separately is required to objectively measure the Progress toward reuse process improvement.

**B. Steps to Achieve Effective Reuse**

To provide organizations with detailed guidance on how to achieve effective reuse, the Reuse-driven Software Processes (RSP) methodology was also developed at SPC in the early 1990’s. All RSP processes consist of two distinct lifecycle activities of domain engineering and application engineering. The conceptual basis of any RSP process is the formalization of commonalities and variabilities that characterize a set of similar products to represent a product family and an associated process for deriving instance products to meet diverse and changing customer needs.

Other authors have proposed different models to structure the breadth of reuse involvement provided by an organization. Among them one was proposed by [31], that proposed by the Software productivity Consortium and that used in the UE project REBOOT (Reuse Based on Object oriented Techniques).

The model proposed by Koltun and Hudson, five maturity levels are defined for reuse [31]:

1. Initial Chaotic
2. Managed
3. Co-coordinated
4. Planned
5. Ingrained

The criteria that permit the evaluation of the level of each organization in the model are:

- **Motivation**, Planning for reuse, Breadth of reuse involvement,
- **Responsibility** for making reuse happen, process by which reuse is leveraged, reuse inventory, classification activity, Technology support, metrics and legal considerations.

The model suggested by Llorens Morillo et. al, is based on the monitoring of three different factors [48]

- Repository structure
- Software development architecture
- Administrative management

Each factor encompasses a certain part of the reuse environment, covering the following areas of control.

Repository structure deals with information representation of the available information in techniques to obtain wherever necessary, and management of authorizing, rejecting and modification of existing components and automated announcement of incorporation or modification of components [47].

Software Development architecture includes developing the architecture according to its orientation towards reuse, type of reuse systematically achieved by the organization and component testing.

Under administrative management, three aspects are covered [8]. Reuse support towards human resources

- Incentives and planning towards reuse
- Reuse level of previous projects, applied to the strengthening of the level of improvement

The complete infrastructure graph recommended is shown in
following figure [49].

According to Grady, a reuse-driven process is a framework for performing domain specific engineering which helps to optimize the software practices to build products of a particular type, resulting in improved productivity and product quality [29]. This focusing and standardization of effort is the key to systematic reuse, leading ultimately to a manufacturing discipline.

As with any process, the CMM and RCM process improvement factors can motivate improvements in a reuse-driven process. In this, some of the corresponding RCM factors ought to be addressed in attaining CMM levels 2 and 3. The 17 process definition factors in the RCM concern differences in the types of reuse based process that an organization may adopt [29]. The RCM, in its implementation model, defines four types of reuse based process: opportunistic, integrated, leveraged, and anticipating. These types, ordered by increasing cost-risk and benefit, provided a categorization for the diversity of approaches already envisioned by the RSP methodology as a family of processes. These process definition factors do not fit into the proper scope of the CMM because they involve a choice among equally valid alternative process conceptions; no one approach is best for everyone.

The RCMM model suggested here can be used as a basis for estimating the level of software reuse practice within an organization. As future work, Maturity Model aims at identifying the strengths of an organization with respect to software reuse and the opportunities for improvements can be adopted. Correct implementation of software reuse and the benefits for an organization adopting reuse in their processes can be evaluated only based on quantitative data. Therefore appropriate reuse business and engineering metrics are recommended to be used within the maturity model to measure the achievement of the respective objectives, the efficiency of the applied practices and the quality of the results obtained. To evaluate the suggested model, it has to be put in the industrial environment and there is a need to get more feedbacks from experts to evaluate the current reuse practice stage and plan the next activities to implement the reuse program.

III. CONCLUSIONS & FUTURE STUDY

Good management can make a difference for success in the case of reuse based software development. Proper monitoring and control of the progress towards the business goals and the performance compliance needs an effective management program. However the nature of the reuse business changes the character and extent of the issues. By suitably applying some modifications to the traditional management techniques by keeping in mind the reuse business goals and proper planning
will lead to success in reuse business.

By gathering issues associated with people, process and product measurements and by estimating and validating them ensures that proper usage of resources will follow the right process and right product.
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